

# The Association between Tax Structure and Cigarette Price Variability: Findings from the International Tobacco Control Policy Evaluation (ITC) Project

Ce Shang<sup>1</sup>, Frank J. Chaloupka<sup>1,2</sup>, Geoffrey T Fong<sup>3,4</sup> Mary Thompson<sup>5</sup>, and Richard J O'Connor<sup>6</sup>

Contact: cshang@uic.edu

Health Policy Center, Institute for Health Research and Policy, UIC; <sup>2</sup>Department of Economics, UIC; <sup>3</sup>Department of Psychology, University of Waterloo; <sup>4</sup>Ontario Institute for Cancer Research; <sup>5</sup>Department of Statistics and Actuarial Science, University of Waterloo; <sup>6</sup>Department of Health Behavior, Division of Cancer Prevention and Population Sciences, Roswell Park Cancer Institute

# BACKGROUND

- A variety of tobacco tax structures are used globally.
- · Types of excise tax system:
  - Specific (e.g. per pack or by weight, a minimum tax).
  - · Ad valorem (e.g. % of retail prices).
- · Mixed (specific & ad valorem)
- Tax rates can be uniform or vary across price tiers.
- Economic theory predicts that tax structures other than specific uniform are associated with greater price variability and tax avoidance opportunities.
- Recent studies (Chaloupka et al. 2014; Shang et al. 2014) present descriptive evidence that supports this theory.
- Studies that use more rigorous analytical methods and that encompass all common tax structures are needed.

#### **OBJECTIVES**

 To examine how cigarette tax structure is associated with price variability.

#### **DESIGN/METHODS**

- Data are from the ITC Project surveys across 17 countries during 2004-2013.
- Tax Structure data are from WHO reports.
- Self-reported prices were used to construct the price variability measures.
- Generalized estimating equations (GEE) was used to assess the association.

#### **MEASURES**

- Price variability
- Prices were first ranked from the highest to the lowest.
- Price variability was measured using the ratio of price gap between percentiles to the median price: 

  99%-1% 95%-5% 90%-10% and 75%-25% or Interquartile(IQR)-tomedian price ratio.

  100 price variability was measured using the ratio of price page 100 price pag

#### **RESULTS**

- · Tax structure measures:
  - Dichotomous indicators for specific tiered (India, Brazil prior to 2012), ad valorem uniform (Thailand, Mexico prior to 2009), ad valorem tiered (Bangladesh), mixed uniform (EU, Malaysia, Mexico 2009-), and mixed tiered tax structure (China, Brazil 2012-), with the specific uniform structure (US, Australia, Canada, Uruguay, Mauritius, and Republic of Korea) being the omitted category.
  - Percentage of specific component among total excise taxes and a dichotomous indicator for a tiered structure.
- Controlled for a EU dummy (tax structure is subject to same criteria), a dummy for subnational taxes (Canada, US, India), with year as a fixed effect.
- On average, the share of specific component among total excise taxes is 63.48 (thus ad valorem share is 36.52) percentage points.
- 19.9% of the sample has a tiered tax structure.
- Results, dichotomous measure for each type of tax structure:

Price Variability	%75-25/	%90-10/	%95-5/	%99-1/		
Specific Uniform-Omitted, (N=78)						
Specific Tiered	0.19***	0.60**	1.03***	1.37***		
	(0.05)	(0.30)	(0.38)	(0.45)		
	[0.85]	[1.01]	[1.28]	[1.01]		
Ad Valorem Uniform	0.03	0.01	0.28	0.36		
	(0.04)	(0.15)	(0.23)	(0.41)		
	[0.12]	[0.01]	[0.35]	[0.26]		
Ad Valorem Tiered	0.65***	0.67***	1.49***	1.45***		
	(0.03)	(0.11)	(0.15)	(0.18)		
	[2.89]	[1.13]	[1.86]	[1.06]		
Mixed Uniform	0.09***	0.45**	0.36*	0.60**		
	(0.02)	(0.20)	(0.19)	(0.29)		
	[0.40]	[0.75]	[0.45]	[0.44]		
Mixed Tiered	0.39*	0.38	0.85	3.43***		
	(0.21)	(0.48)	(0.66)	(1.00)		
	[1.71]	[0.64]	[1.06]	[2.51]		

\* p  $\leq$  0.1, \*\* p  $\leq$  0.05, \*\*\* p  $\leq$  0.01.Marginal effects or coefficients are reported. Standard errors clustered at the country level are reported in parentheses and corresponding elasticity estimates are reported in square brackets.

 Compared with a specific uniform structure, tiered (specific, mixed and ad valorem) and mixed uniform structures are positively associated with price variability.

## **RESULTS (CONTINUED)**

- A mixed uniform structure is associated with 40-75% greater price variability.
- A specific tiered structure is associated with 85- 128% greater price variability.
- An ad valorem tiered structure is associated with 106-289% greater price variability.
- A mixed tiered structure is associated with 171-250% greater price variability.
- Results, percentage of specific component among total excise taxes and a dichotomous measure for tiered structure (mixed/ad valorem/specific):

Price Variability	%75-25/	%90-10/	%95-5/	%99-1/	
% of specific	-0.001**	-0.002**	-0.004**	-0.006*	
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.002)	(0.004)	
	[-0.43]	[-0.28]	[-0.36]	[-0.33]	
Tiered	0.33***	0.37**	0.83***	1.88**	
	(0.13)	(0.18)	(0.24)	(0.78)	
	[1.47]	[0.61]	[1.04]	[1.39]	
* p ≤ 0.1,** p ≤ 0.05, *** p ≤ 0.01. Marginal effects or coefficients are reported. Standard errors clustered at the country level are reported in parentheses and corresponding elasticity estimates					

- A 10% increase in the share of specific taxes among total excises is associated with a 4.3% decrease in the IQR-to-median ratio (p≤0.1).
- A 10% increase in the share of specific components in total excises is associated with a 2.8-4.3% lower price variability.
- A tiered tax structure is associated with a 147% increase in the IQR-to-median ratio (p≤0.01).
- A tiered structure is associated with a 61-147% higher price variability.

### **CONCLUSIONS**

are reported in square brackets.

- Complicated tax structures that depart from a specific uniform structure are associated with greater price variability of cigarettes.
- Countries that impose a specific uniform tax structure, that increase their reliance on specific excise taxes, and/or switch from tiered to uniform tax rates, will reduce price variability.
- These results support the proposition that specific uniform tax structure is the most effective tax structure for reducing tobacco consumption and prevalence.



Poster presented at the 16<sup>th</sup> World Conference on Tobacco OR Health (WCTOH) 2015, Abu Dhabi, March 17-21, 2015

Core support provided by the U.S. National Cancer Institute (P01 CA138389) Core support provided by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (MOP-115016)



